**Nowicki Carbone Personal Injury Moot 2016 - Scoresheet**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Competitor Name** |  |
| **Judge Name**  |  |
| **Team** |  |
| **Date** |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criteria | Comments | Score |
| **ORGANISATION OF PRESENTATION** Factors: logical organisation and structure; concise overview of submissions and conclusion; appropriate attention and weight given to some arguments over others; flexibility despite being taken off topic.  |  | /10 |
| **DEVELOPMENT OF ARGUMENT** Factors: understanding of the law and issues; logical, persuasive arguments; pinpoint citation of authorities; appropriate use of policy arguments; addresses opposing arguments in advance (appellant) or consequentially (respondent).  |  | /25 |
| **QUESTIONS FROM THE BENCH** Factors: prepared for questions that can be anticipated; clear, concise and direct responses; engagement with the court’s views; composure and courtesy despite challenges to arguments; effective integration of responses with arguments; adept treatment of irrelevant questions; ability to deal with difficult and obscure questions.  |  | /30 |
| **MANNER AND EXPRESSION** Factors: engages with the court; projects voice; articulates submissions with eloquence; use of clear and simple language; displays confidence without arrogance; eye-contact with all members of the bench; courteous and formal; correct citation; appropriate use of courtroom formalities; consistent style and manner.  |  | /25 |
| **WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS** Factors: coverage of all issues raised in the case; well structured; clear, concise and reasoned expression; supported by authorities with pinpoint citations; free from grammatical, spelling or punctuation errors; consistent with oral submissions.  |  | /10 |
| **Total** |  | **/100** |